# LIFE CYCLE EVALUATION OF INNOVATIVE SOLID STATE TRANSFORMER

Aikaterini-Maria Zarogianni, Centre for Research & Technology Hellas/Chemical Process and Energy Resources Institute (CERTH/CPERI), (+30) 211 1069564, <u>m.zarogianni@certh.gr</u> Marianna Kama, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya Dimitrios-Sotirios Kourkoumpas, Centre for Research & Technology Hellas/Chemical Process and Energy Resources Institute (CERTH/CPERI), (+30) 211 1069507, <u>kourkourmpas@certh.gr</u> Maria Fotopoulou, Centre for Research & Technology Hellas/Chemical Process and Energy Resources Institute (CERTH/CPERI)

Dimitrios C. Rakopoulos, Centre for Research & Technology Hellas/Chemical Process and Energy Resources Institute (CERTH/CPERI)

## **Overview**

Transformers, as fundamental components of the electricity transmission and distribution system, are pivotal in facilitating the efficient transfer of electrical energy across various voltage levels. As a matter of fact, solid-state transformers (SSTs), a revolutionary technology that can expand the standard functions of a conventional transformer, continue to rise in acceptance. Its efficiency impacts the overall safety and stability of the power system. They may enhance the distribution of energy in a more sustainable way and add a significant amount of digitalization and intelligence into the network. The environmental impact of electrical transformer production differs based on the transformer's power distribution capability and materials (Mansilha et al, 2018). An innovative High Voltage Solid-State Transformer (HV-SST) is developed and its environmental impacts are evaluated in the framework of SSTAR project. An interesting feature is the usage of non-hazardous, recyclable, and durable materials such as utilizing a biobased dielectric fluid as insulation mean, instead of mineral oil-based fluid, which contributes to reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

#### Methods

A life cycle assessment method of HV-SST with power capacity 75 kVA is conducted throughout all lifetime phases. The boundaries include energy and mass balances of the production, transportation, operation and maintenance and disposal phase adopting a cradle-to-grave approach. The functional unit considered is "one kilowatt-hour (kWh) of transferred electricity". Outcomes are obtained through SimaPro software and to comprehensively assess the environmental impacts three complementary life cycle impact assessment (LCIA) methods are employed: Environmental Footprint 3.0 (EF3.0), ReCiPe 2016, and Impact World+.

#### Results

The Global Warming Potential (GWP) of 1kg of bio-based dielectric fluid ranges from 1.32 to 1.35 kg CO<sub>2</sub>eq, and the Cumulative Energy Demand (CED) ranges from 15.5 to 17.5 MJ, with minimal variation between LCIA methods. This consistency suggests that the environmental performance of the dielectric fluid remains robust regardless of the assessment approach, providing confidence in its lower environmental impact across different evaluation frameworks. According to the literature, comparing the GWP of the dielectric fluid developed in the framework of SSTAR project with the only transformer dielectric fluid reported in Scatiggio et al, (2020), which estimated a GWP of 1.99 kg CO<sub>2eq</sub>, reveals an approximately a 33% decrease. This substantial reduction highlights the improved environmental performance of the SSTAR dielectric fluid compared to traditional mineral oil. Furthermore, McManus et al., 2001 refered that 1kg of mineral oil-based fluid in mobile hydraulic machines had a GWP of  $3.56 \text{ kg CO}_{2eq}$ . Both studies demonstrated significantly worse environmental performance compared to the present study bio-based dielectric fluid.

# Conclusions

An LCA was performed to analyze the production, the operation and maintenance and the disposal of a HV-SST throughout its lifetime, using three different assessment methods. Emphasing the innovation of HV-SST related to the bio-based fluid produced in the project's framework, this fluid outperforms traditional mineral oils in environmental performance, particularly in terms of GWP, with decreases ranging from 33% to 69%. This increase the possibility the transformers manufacturers and energy suppliers to use the obtained results as a decision making tool.

## References

Ekman A and P. Börjesson, (2011). Life cycle assessment of mineral oil-based and vegetable oil-based hydraulic fluids including comparison of biocatalytic and conventional production methods, International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 297–305, doi: 10.1007/s11367-011-0263-0.

Mansilha, M., Brondani, M., Farret, F. A., Rosa, L., & Hoffmann, R. (2018). Life Cycle Assessment of Electrical Distribution Transformers: Comparative Study Between Aluminum and Copper Coils. Environmental Engineering Science, 36. doi:10.1089/ees.2018.0256

McManus, M. (2002). Life cycle assessment of rapeseed and mineral oil based fluid power systems. International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment - INT J LIFE CYCLE ASSESS, 7, 310-310. doi:10.1007/BF02978895

Scatiggio, F., Breda, E., Calcara, L., Campi, G., Parodi, L., Pedriali, R., . . . Santoro, A. (2020, 22 June-3 July 2020). LCA and Smoke Test of Dielectric Fluids Based on Natural Esters. Paper presented at the 2020 IEEE Electrical Insulation Conference (EIC).